INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat 'Application No PCT/US 98/16127

		101/09 30/1	O1Z/	
A. CLASSII IPC 6	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER D06M15/356 D06M23/06 D06M15/0)3		
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification	ation and IPC		
	SEARCHED			
Minimum do IPC 6	cumentation searched (classification system followed by classification by classifica	on symbols)		
Documentat	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	uch documents are included in the fields searc	ched	
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	se and, where practical, search terms used)		
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rel	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
A	EP 0 412 704 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 13 February 1991 cited in the application see page 3, line 36 - page 7, lin		1,18-22	
А	WO 97 15275 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 1 May 1997 cited in the application see page 3, line 1 - line 30		1,18-22	
Α	WO 97 34572 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 25 September 1997 cited in the application see page 3, line 9 - page 4, line	e 11 -/	1,18-22	
X Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed in	annex.	
° Special ca	ategories of cited documents:			
"A" docum consid	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international	"T" later document published after the interms or priority date and not in conflict with the cited to understand the principle or theor invention	e application but y underlying the	
filing of the fi		 "X" document of particular relevance; the clai cannot be considered novel or cannot be involve an inventive step when the document "Y" document of particular relevance; the clai cannot be considered to involve an invention of the considered to involve an invention of the considered with one or more 	e considered to ment is taken alone med invention ntive step when the	
other "P" docum	means ent published prior to the international filing date but than the priority date claimed	ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international searce		
	28 April 1999	12/05/1999		
Name and	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk	Authorized officer		
	Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Herrmann, J		

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Interna' Application No PCT/US 98/16127

		PCT/US 98/16127
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 96 15309 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 23 May 1996 see page 2, line 28 - page 10, line 33 see page 16, line 32 - page 21, line 42	1,18-22
Α	WO 96 15310 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 23 May 1996 see page 2, line 19 - page 12, line 3 see page 16, line 31 - page 21, line 42	1,18-22
Α	EP 0 292 909 A (COLGATE PALMOLIVE CO) 30 November 1988 see claims	1,18-22
A	DE 43 31 892 A (AMWAY CORP) 7 April 1994 see claims	1,18-22
A	US 5 336 419 A (BELFIORE KATHLEEN A ET AL) 9 August 1994 see claims	1,18-22
ſ		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Internat - Application No PCT/US 98/16127

Patent document cited in search report	:	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0412704	Α	13-02-1991	AU	624014 B	28-05-1992
			AU	6015890 A	07-02-1991
			CA	2022467 A,C	08-02-1991
			CN	1049784 A	13-03-1991
		•	EP	0878184 A	18-11-1998
•			JP	3128311 A	31-05-1991
			MX	173186 B	
					07-02-1994
			TR	25185 A	01-11-1992
			US	5618524 A	08-04-1997
			US	5658557 A	19-08-1997
WO 9715275	Α	01-05-1997	AU	7455896 A	15-05-1997
			CN	1200664 A	02-12-1998
			EP	0861064 A	02-09-1998
			JP	10512294 T	24-11-1998
WO 9734572		25 00 1007			
WU 9/345/2	A 	25-09-1997 	US	5665337 A	09-09-1997
WO 9615309	Α	23-05-1996	BR	9509712 A	16-06-1998
			EP	0791097 A	27-08-1997
			JP	10508911 T	02-09-1998
			ZA	9509557 A	28-05-1996
					20-05-1990
WO 9615310	Α	23-05-1996	BR	9509716 A	21-10-1997
			EP	0791096 A	27-08-1997
			JP	10508912 T	02-09-1998
			US	5798107 A	25-08-1998
			ZA	9509558 A	05-06-1996
EP 0292909	A	30-11-1988	 US	4806254 A	21-02-1989
			CA	1322826 A	12-10-1993
			JP	1006174 A	10-01-1989
DE 4331892	Α	07-04-1994	CA	2106173 A	24-03-1994
			GB	2270930 A,B	30-03-1994
			JP	6240575 A	30-08-1994
			US	5645751 A	08-07-1997
			AU	667233 B	14-03-1996
			AU	4736393 A	31-03-1994
US 5336419	Α	09-08-1994	US	5064543 A	12-11-1991
			US	5062971 A	05-11-1991
			AU	8057691 A	· 31-12-1991
			CN	1059001 A	26-02-1992
			EP	0532671 A	24-03-1993
			- WO	9119037 A	12-12-1991



PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference CM1718QF/JH		n of Transmittal of International Search Report /220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 98/16127	04/08/1998	
Applicant THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPA	ANY et al.	~ <u></u>
THE PROOFER & GARBLE COMM		
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Au ansmitted to the International Bureau.	uthority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists It is also accompanied by	of a total of <u>3</u> sheets. a copy of each prior art document cited in th	is report.
1. Basis of the report		
	international search was carried out on the bass otherwise indicated under this item.	asis of the international application in the
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of	f the international application furnished to this
was carried out on the basis of the	e sequence listing:	international application, the international search
	onal application in written form.	
	ernational application in computer readable fo	orm.
=	this Authority in written form.	
· · ·	this Authority in computer readble form.	
	osequently furnished written sequence listing is filed has been furnished.	does not go beyond the disclosure in the
the statement that the info furnished	ormation recorded in computer readable form	n is identical to the written sequence listing has been
불	nd unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box II).	
4. With regard to the title,		
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	
	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
5. With regard to the abstract,		
X the text is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establis		ority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, eport, submit comments to this Authority.
6. The figure of the drawings to be publication	ished with the abstract is Figure No.	
as suggested by the appli	cant.	None of the figures.
because the applicant fail	ed to suggest a figure.	
because this figure better	characterizes the invention.	

D06M15/03

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 DO6M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Α	EP 0 412 704 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 13 February 1991 cited in the application see page 3, line 36 - page 7, line 55	1,18-22
Α	WO 97 15275 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 1 May 1997 cited in the application see page 3, line 1 - line 30	1,18-22
A	WO 97 34572 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 25 September 1997 cited in the application see page 3, line 9 - page 4, line 11	1,18-22
,	-/ :	-

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed in annex.
 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed 	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
28 April 1999	12/05/1999
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Herrmann, J



0.00	A DOCUMENTO CONCIDENCE OF DELEVANT	98/1012/
Category °	OBE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Α	WO 96 15309 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 23 May 1996 see page 2, line 28 - page 10, line 33 see page 16, line 32 - page 21, line 42	1,18-22
A	WO 96 15310 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 23 May 1996 see page 2, line 19 - page 12, line 3 see page 16, line 31 - page 21, line 42	1,18-22
Α	EP 0 292 909 A (COLGATE PALMOLIVE CO) 30 November 1988 see claims	1,18-22
A	DE 43 31 892 A (AMWAY CORP) 7 April 1994 see claims	1,18-22
A	US 5 336 419 A (BELFIORE KATHLEEN A ET AL) 9 August 1994 see claims	1,18-22

1

Information on patent family members

International Application No

Patent document cited in search repor	t	Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 0412704	Α	13-02-1991	AU CA CN EP JP MX TR US US	624014 6015890 2022467 1049784 0878184 3128311 173186 25185 5618524 5658557	A A,C A A B A	28-05-1992 07-02-1991 08-02-1991 13-03-1991 18-11-1998 31-05-1991 07-02-1994 01-11-1992 08-04-1997 19-08-1997
WO 9715275	Α	01-05-1997	AU CN EP JP	7455896 1200664 0861064 10512294	A A	15-05-1997 02-12-1998 02-09-1998 24-11-1998
WO 9734572	Α	25-09-1997	US	5665337	Α	09-09-1997
WO 9615309	Α	23-05-1996	BR EP JP ZA	9509712 0791097 10508911 9509557	A T	16-06-1998 27-08-1997 02-09-1998 28-05-1996
WO 9615310	A .	23-05-1996	BR EP JP US ZA	9509716 0791096 10508912 5798107 9509558	A T A	21-10-1997 27-08-1997 02-09-1998 25-08-1998 05-06-1996
EP 0292909	Α	30-11-1988	US CA JP	4806254 1322826 1006174	Α	21-02-1989 12-10-1993 10-01-1989
DE 4331892	Α	07-04-1994	CA GB JP US AU AU	2106173 2270930 6240575 5645751 667233 4736393	A,B A A B	24-03-1994 30-03-1994 30-08-1994 08-07-1997 14-03-1996 31-03-1994
US 5336419	A	09-08-1994	US US AU CN EP WO	5064543 5062971 8057691 1059001 0532671 9119037	A A A	12-11-1991 05-11-1991 31-12-1991 26-02-1992 24-03-1993 12-12-1991

Bay

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	1	3	DEC	2000
WIPO)			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

15

						[]
Applicant's or agent's file reference CM1718QF/JH FOI			FOR FURTHER ACT	TION		ation of Transmittal of International
			PON FUNITIEN AC		Preliminary	Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. International			International filing date (da	ay/month/	'year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US9	98/16	5127	04/08/1998			04/08/1998
Internationa D06M15/		ent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC			
Applicant			DANNI			
THE PRO	JUIE	ER & GAMBLE COM	PAINY et al.			
			mination report has been p t according to Article 36.	repared	by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This f	REPC	ORT consists of a total	of 10 sheets, including this	s cover s	heet.	
b	een a	mended and are the b		sheets co	ontaining re	n, claims and/or drawings which have ctifications made before this Authority ne PCT).
These	e ann	exes consist of a total	of sheets.			
	_		elating to the following items	s:		
 		Basis of the report Priority				
111	⊠	•	opinion with regard to nov	elty inv	entive sten	and industrial applicability
١٧		Lack of unity of inven	· ·	Oity, iiiv	onavo otop	and modernal applicability
V	×	Reasoned statement			ovelty, inve	entive step or industrial applicability;
VI		Certain documents of	ited			
VII	\boxtimes	Certain defects in the	international application			
VIII	⊠	Certain observations	on the international applica	ation		
Date of sub	missio	on of the demand		Date of c	ompletion of	this report
21/02/20	00			11.12.20	00	
		g address of the internatio ining authority:	nal	Authorize	ed officer	JOS MEDICAN
<u></u>	Euro D-80	opean Patent Office 0298 Munich +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236	556 epmu d	Nissen	, V	

Telephone No. +49 89 2399 8619

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/16127

I. Basis of the report

1.	res _i the	ponse to an invitatio	awn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in n under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).):					
	1-8	8	as originally filed					
	Cla	ims, No.:						
	1-2	5	as originally filed					
2.			uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a t	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of pul	olication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a to 55.2 and/or 55.3).	ranslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule					
3.		With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the int	ernational application in written form.					
		filed together with t	he international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that listing has been fur	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence nished.					
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70,2(c)):						

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6.	. Additional observations, if necessary:							
III.	Noi	n-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
		lestions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), e industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
☐ the entire international application.								
	Ø	claims Nos. 2-3.						
be	caus	se:						
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which on the require an international preliminary examination (specify): see separate sheet								
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos						
2.	and	neaningful international preliminary examination report cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide Vor amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative cructions:						
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.						
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.						
IV.	. Lac	ck of unity of invention						
1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:								
		restricted the claims.						
		paid additional fees.						
		paid additional fees under protest.						
	×	neither restricted nor paid additional fees.						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/16127

2.	☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.							
3.	Thi	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is						
		complied with.						
	⊠	not complied with for the following reasons: see separate sheet						
4.		nsequently, the following mination in establishing	national application were the subject of international preliminary					
		all parts.						
	×	the parts relating to claims Nos. 1, 4-25.						
٧.		easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; tations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Sta	tement						
	Nov	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	18, 20-23 1, 4-5, 8-10, 19, 24			
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	18, 20-23 6-7, 11-17, 25			
	Indi	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-25			
2.	Cita	ations and explanations	•					

VII. Certain defects in the international application

see separate sheet

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

Re Item VIII C rtain obs rvations on the international application

- 1. The subject matter of claim 1 is unclear (Art. 6 PCT) for the following reasons:
- It is not clear how it is to be determined in the silicone grafted adhesive polymer I 1.1 whether monomer A (optionally) making up (part of) the backbone would have been free radically polymerisable as defined or not.
- 1.2 It is not clear what is meant by the expression "reinforcing monomer" and to which extent the word "reinforcing" is intended to define or delimit the nature of monomer B. Moreover, as B can constitute 100% of the polymeric backbone, it is not clear how and on what it can be "reinforcing" in the literal sense.
- 1.3 As the definition of monomer C falls within the definition of monomer B (both capable of being macromers), it is not clear to what extent these constituents are meant to be different in nature. In the description [page 8, 1st §] it is, however, stated that B does not contain silicone. This feature is not present in the claim.
- 1.4 The term "lower" used for defining moiety R when being an alkyl is indefinite (PCT Guidelines III-4.5). Moreover, it is not clear if it relates only to R being alkyl or also when R is aryl or alkoxy.
- 1.5 It is, furthermore, stated that R can be hydrogen. It is not clear how this can be the case in an aqueous environment. In any event it is not clear how it can be seen on the final polymer whether or not R would have been hydrogen. This objection also applies to the silicon bound hydrogens defined in claim 4.
- 1.6 The definition given of C constituting a certain percentage of the copolymer is unclear, as it is not defined whether the percentage relates to mass or molar values.
- Moreover, it appears from the description that C defines the silicone moieties which are grafted to the backbone comprising A and/or B "monomers" [The description page 8, 1st §]. This feature is not clear from the wording of claim 1

International application No. PCT/US98/16127

(Art. 6 and R. 6.3(a) PCT).

- 1.8 From page 9, 1st § of the description it is clear that the polymer I should phaseseparate when dried. In so far this is not an inherent property of any polymer falling within the definition otherwise given in claim 1, this feature should also be stated in the claim.
- Lack of clarity of claim 1 renders also the independent claims 18, 19, 20 and 24 2. referring to claim 1 as well as all claims dependent thereon unclear (Art. 6 PCT).
- The features of claims 16 and 17 do not make sense unless the conditions of 3. measurement (in particular the temperature) are specified (Art. 6 and R. 6.3(a) PCT).

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive Re Item III step and industrial applicability

Due to no response to the previous invitation to pay further fees (Form 405) a statement is only given in respect of independent claim 1 alternative I) and dependent claim 4 as well as independent claims 18, 19, 20 and 24 and dependent claims 5-17, 21-23 and 25 as far as they relate to alternative I). Vide also below section IV.

Re Item IV Lack of unity of invention

- The present invention relates to a composition suitable for reducing wrinkles 1. comprising i) a polymer, ii) a water-solubilising agent, and iii) a liquid, aqueous carrier.
- 1.1 Aqueous compositions comprising polymeric components as well as solubilizing agents (surfactants) are very well known [vide e.g. D1 (EP 412 704) claims 1 and 6]. It should be noted that the claim to the composition is not considered to be limited by the intended use of the composition as a anti-wrinkle means (PCT Guidelines III-4.8). If such limitation is intended it must be in terms of a use-claim.

- 1.2 According to present claim 1 the component i) can be one of three alternative polymers [I), II) and III)].
 - In so far the definitions of the polymers can be understood (cf. section "further comments" below); alternative I) relates to a silicon grafted adhesive copolymer, alternative II) relates to a (co)polymer composed of any hydrophilic and/or hydrophobic monomers and alternative III) relates to an adhesive block copolymer comprising certain silicon moieties.
- 1.3 It is a common feature of all three alternatives that they are polymers obtainable by free radical polymerisation. Furthermore, alternatives I) and III) are both adhesives and involve silicon and when I) or II) is used, less than 5% of surfactant ii) is to be used. Otherwise no common features of the 3 alternatives are apparent from the claim.
- 1.4 However, also compositions comprising adhesive silicon containing copolymer and less than 5% surfactant are known [vide e.g. D3, claims 1 and 9].
- 1.5 Accordingly, and contrary to the provisions of Art. 3(4)(iii) and R. 13 PCT, no common novel and inventive link can be found between the three alternatives in claim 1 which thus are considered as representing separate inventions.
- 1.6 The inventions identified could be grouped as follows:
 - I: Independent claim 1 alternative I) and dependent claim 4 as well as independent claims 18, 19, 20 and 24 and dependent claims 5-17, 21-23 and 25 as far as they relate to alternative I),
 - II: Independent claim 1 alternative II) and dependent claims 2 and 3 as well as independent claims 18, 19, 20 and 24 and dependent claims 5-17, 21-23 and 25 as far as they relate to alternative II),
 - III: Independent claim 1 alternative III) as well as independent claims 18, 19, 20 and 24 and dependent claims 5-17, 21-23 and 25 as far as they relate to alternative III).

2. The above mentioned objection on lack of unity is not to be considered exhaustive for the subject matter of the present application. Vide below section V, regarding further lack of novelty/inventive step.

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, Re Item V inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Cf. above sections III and IV a statement is only given in respect of independent claim 1 alternative I) and dependent claim 4 as well as independent claims 18, 19, 20 and 24 and dependent claims 5-17, 21-23 and 25 as far as they relate to alternative I).

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 412 704 (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 13 February 1991 cited in the application

D2: WO 97 15275 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 1 May 1997 cited in the application

D3: WO 97 34572 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 25 September 1997 cited in the application

D4: WO 96 15309 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 23 May 1996

D5: WO 96 15310 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 23 May 1996

- 1. The present invention relates to a composition suitable for reducing wrinkles comprising i) a silicone grafted adhesive polymer I, ii) less than 5 weight-% watersoluble anionic and/or nonionic surfactant, and iii) a liquid, aqueous carrier. For the sake of good order the applicant is informed that the claim to the composition is not considered to be limited by the intended use of the composition as a antiwrinkle means (PCT Guidelines III-4.8).
- Compositions comprising 0.1-10% polymeric components falling within the definition of silicone grafted adhesive polymer I in e.g. 10-90% liquid carrier such as water, as well as 0.05-50% solubilizing agents (surfactants) are very well known in the art of hair conditioners [D1 the abstract; claim 1; page 8, lines 20-21 and page 9 line 24, vide also D2 page 11, line 13 ff. and D3 claim 1].

- 1.2 Accordingly the subject matter of product claims 1, 5 and 8-10 as well as method claim 19 is not considered novel (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 1.3 D1 also discloses formulas falling within the definition given in claim 4, which accordingly lacks novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT) [page 5, line 50 - page 6, line 35, vide also D2 page 12 and D3 page 10].
- 1.3 It is also known to use the composition according to D1 as a hair spray, which implies the use of a spray dispenser. Accordingly also the subject matter of claim 24 is considered not novel (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 2. D1 also discloses both anionic and nonionic surfactant which thus constitutes obvious choices for the skilled person looking for a solubilizing agent. In so far no particular effect is substantiated as being the consequence of using any particular surfactant such are found to be equally obvious. Accordingly the subject matter of claims 6 and 7 lacks an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.1 Regarding the additives defined in claims 11-14, these appears to merely constitute known additives also for use in hair care products [vide e.g. D1 page 9, lines 15-18 + examples; D2 page 16, lines 24-38].
- 2.2 The properties defined in claims 15-17 appears to be within the normal ranges of various traditional hair care products and can thus not be seen as contributing to an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.3 The droplet size defined in claim 25 appears to lie within the normal droplet size delivered from spray dispensers. Moreover, no particular effect can be attributed to the droplet size. Accordingly the subject matter of claim 25 lacks an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 3. Regarding claims 18 and 20-23 essentially relating to the treatment of wrinkles on fabrics using compositions comprising polymer I, it appears that D5 or D4 (being the applicant's own applications) represent the closest prior art.
- 3.1 D5 discloses a wrinkle reducing composition comprising a combination of a

- polymer and a silicon based active as well as surfactants in an aqueous liquid carrier [claims 1 and page 10, line 35].
- 3.2 The problem to be solved over the closest prior art would thus be to provide an alternative (combination of) active ingredients for use in the known wrinkle reducing methods.
- 3.3 The cited documents do not reveal the said combination of ingredients (i.e. the silicone grafted adhesive polymer I) for use in the treatment of wrinkles on fabrics, and they do not suggest or imply that the beneficial effects mentioned on page 2 of the application can be obtained thereby (no need for touch up ironing and less iron drag).
- 3.4 Accordingly an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT) can be acknowledged for the subject matter of claims 18 and 20-23 provided the subject matter is appropriately clarified in view of the objections raised under above section VIII.

Re Item VII Certain defects in the international application

1. The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT, see also see the PCT Guidelines, III-2.3a).